

Celebrating **60** *Years*

Editor

Necia Grant Cooper

Managing Editor

Ileana G. Buican

Science Writer

Jay A. Schecker

Designer

Gloria E. Sharp

Illustrators

Andrea J. Kron

Christopher D. Brigman

David R. Delano

Ward G. Zaelke

Editorial Support

Faith J. Harp

Charmian O. Schaller

Composition Support

Joy E. Baker

Photographers

John A. Flower

Richard C. Robinson

Michael D. Greenbank

Printing Coordination

Guadalupe D. Archuleta

Address mail to

Los Alamos Science

Mail Stop M711

Los Alamos National Laboratory

Los Alamos, NM 87545

lascience@lanl.gov

Fax: 505-665-4408

Tel: 505-667-1447

Inspiration from Our Past

The Laboratory Today

Building the Future

1943 - 2003
Los Alamos
NATIONAL LABORATORY
Ideas That Change the World

This volume of *Los Alamos Science* commemorates six decades of service to the nation by the Los Alamos National Laboratory staff and by the University of California.

Over the years, the freedom to explore new ideas has been protected by the traditions and prestige of the University of California and has made Los Alamos one of the great scientific organizations in the world. The connection between Los Alamos and the University began in 1942 with the Berkeley summer study on the building of the atomic bomb. Soon thereafter, in February 1943, the University and the government signed an agreement “for certain investigations to be directed by Dr. J. R. Oppenheimer.” Since then, the University’s connection to Los Alamos has been uninterrupted. In 1946, when the Laboratory’s future was in question, Director Norris Bradbury represented Los Alamos as an institution with a “very definite academic tradition in spite of the fact that we are only about three years old. The entire staff of the Laboratory has been drawn almost without exception from the staffs of academic institutions and from their graduate students.”

The intellectual leadership and diversity of the staff, constantly renewed through collaborations with the international community, have ensured the unmatched strength of the nation’s nuclear deterrent and have produced new ideas and technologies, many of which are applicable to issues of national security. Because the interior workings of a nuclear bomb involve temperatures and pressures that could never be reached in the laboratory, theoretical physics, mathematics, and new diagnostic techniques played an essential role in filling that gap at the founding of the Laboratory. Those capabilities continue to be central today, as we work to maintain the nuclear deterrent in the absence of nuclear testing. Because Oppenheimer, himself a theoretical physicist and a master at managing creative people, believed that openness among all levels of the scientific staff was essential to achieving the goal, the staff were quick to learn, adapt, and respond as new facts presented themselves. That heritage serves us well right now, as we adapt to evolving issues of national security. Given our shared experience on uniquely difficult problems, we strongly believe that a continuing relationship between the University and the Laboratory is in the best interest of the nation.

In the last six months, many of us have participated in the anniversary activities inspired by the theme “Ideas That Change the World.” Publication of this special volume is a fitting close to those activities. *Los Alamos Science* typically presents the excellence of our science to the international scientific community, but this volume was produced with a different purpose in mind. The idea was to create a forward-looking portrait of the Laboratory, from which we could learn more about ourselves and about the tough problems we face in stewardship, threat reduction, and national security in the broadest sense. The volume begins by taking us back to the Laboratory’s first decade through Harris Mayer’s personal reflection “People of the Hill,” and then it turns the spotlight on our present and future national security missions. It gives presence to both older and younger staff, voice to fears and hopes, and welcome to the enthusiasm, dedication, and can-do spirit that continue to motivate this institution.

In my vision of our Laboratory, all members of the staff learn about the technical issues we face and become actively engaged in their solution. The articles in the section on nuclear stewardship are a step in that direction. Both management and research staff share their varying views on the scientific challenges of certifying the safety and reliability of the nuclear weapons stockpile without the benefit of nuclear testing. In “How Archival Test Data Contribute to Certification,” two of our most experienced scientists, in collaboration with a young designer, give us a palpable description of the workings of a nuclear bomb and the complex physics experiments that were performed during more than a thousand nuclear tests to record and analyze the time history of events. After 60 years, that legacy of research is still the bedrock of knowledge for the present certification of the stockpile and a training ground for new staff. That intellectual legacy derives from the excellence of our staff and attests to our University of California heritage. At the same

time, the staff work on new theory, computational techniques, and experimental measurements and from them construct high-fidelity computer simulations of weapons performance. Those simulations, we hope, will fill the gap left by the moratorium on testing. I am encouraged by advancements in the key diagnostic of quantitative radiography, including the new technique of proton radiography. That diagnostic should help us image the early stages of weapon assembly and resolve important uncertainties (“The Development of Flash Radiography”). Another significant development is a new, efficient computational model for simulating the detonation of high explosives (“High-Explosives Performance”). That model is adding certainty into the simulations of the initial stages of weapon performance.

The tragic day of September 11, 2001, was a devastating realization of some of our worst forebodings. After the collapse of the Soviet Union, the Laboratory had begun to focus on the prospect that nuclear weapons or materials could find their way into the hands of dangerous proliferants or terrorists. The second major mission of the Laboratory became to prevent, deter, detect, respond to, and reverse the threat of weapons of mass destruction, proliferation, and terrorism. Some of our most innovative scientists and engineers, working in multidisciplinary teams, are applying their talents and best ideas to these highly complex problems. In our work on biothreat reduction, for example, spinoffs from the Laboratory’s work on the Human Genome Project helped us penetrate the secrets of the bioweapons programs in the former Soviet Union and in Iraq (“Reducing the Biological Threat”). Our long history of using satellites to verify nuclear nonproliferation treaties has prepared us to develop the types of remote sensing we need today (“Eyes in Space”). We are now working with universities, research laboratories, the very best high-technology companies, and scientists worldwide to prevent the illegal migration of nuclear materials and technologies. Most of all, because our work is increasing the safety and security of freedom-seeking people everywhere, the men and women of this Laboratory are proud of their role in the post-9/11 world.

In “Six Decades of Reducing Threats and Allaying Fears,” Terry Hawkins gathers in a few short pages the story of Los Alamos from the dark days of World War II to our present contribution to the war on terror. Should you ever doubt the role of the Laboratory in maintaining freedom and democracy around the world, let that article remind you of how the silent presence of our strategic and tactical nuclear weapons and the visible power of our surveillance have brought caution and sanity when there might have been none.

Our Laboratory’s “self-portrait” closes with the section “Strategic Investments,” that is, research in fundamental science and in technologies that can provide the intellectual foundations of our mission and help sustain the health and well-being of life on our planet. We are preparing for the national security issues of the future by investing broadly in advancing the frontiers of science and in nurturing the talented scientists whose ideas will change the world.

In the years ahead, the world is likely to become more complicated, and the challenges to national security, more diverse. To perform its missions effectively, the Laboratory is renewing itself inside and out. We are creating business and process systems that mirror and support our excellence in science. We are developing the leadership qualities to meet the growing demands of our complex society. We are planning every leg of this journey with purpose and deliberation. As the new director, I am bullish about the future before us. We will continue to be the best because of our creativity, diversity, and unswerving dedication. ■



G. Peter Nanos, Laboratory Director

Preface *G. Peter Nanos, Laboratory Director*iv

INSPIRATION FROM OUR PAST

People of the Hill—The Early Days 2
Harris Mayer

THE LABORATORY TODAY

Nuclear Stewardship in the 21st Century

Science-Based Stockpile Stewardship—An Overview 32
Raymond J. Juzaitis

How Archival Test Data Contribute to Certification 38
Fred N. Mortensen, John M. Scott, and Stirling A. Colgate

QMU and Nuclear Weapons Certification—What’s under the Hood? 47
David H. Sharp and Merri M. Wood-Schultz

Weapon Certification—A Personal View 54
Donald R. McCoy

The Pit Production Story 58
*Douglas D. Kautz, David B. Mann, Richard G. Castro, Lawrence E. Lucero, and
Steven M. Dinehart*

Strategy for Small-Lot Manufacturing—In-Process Monitoring and Control 63
*Vivek R. Davé, Daniel A. Hartman, William H. King, Mark J. Cola,
and Rajendra U. Vaidya*

The New World of the Nevada Test Site	68
<i>Ghazar R. Papazian, Robert E. Reinovsky, and Jerry N. Beatty</i>	
The Development of Flash Radiography	76
<i>Gregory S. Cunningham and Christopher Morris</i>	
The DARHT Camera	92
<i>Scott A. Watson</i>	
High-Explosives Performance—Understanding the Effects of a Finite-Length Reaction Zone	96
<i>John B. Bdzil with Tariq D. Aslam, Rudolph Henninger, and James J. Quirk</i>	
Damage Evolution in Ductile Metals—Developing a Quantitative and Predictive Understanding	111
<i>Anna K. Zurek, W. Rich Thissell, Carl P. Trujillo, Davis L. Tonks, Benjamin L. Henrie, and Rhonald K. Keinigs</i>	
Shock Compression Techniques for Developing Multiphase Equations of State	114
<i>Robert S. Hixson, George T. Gray III, and Dennis B. Hayes</i>	
ASCI—Big Engineering in the Field of Scientific Computing	120
<i>James S. Peery</i>	
Massively Parallel Multiphysics Code Development	128
<i>Jim E. Morel</i>	
High-Resolution Methods for Hydrodynamics	132
<i>William J. Rider</i>	
A Vision of Hidden Worlds	135
<i>Robert J. Kares</i>	
The LANSCE National User Facility	138
<i>Thomas Wangler and Paul W. Lisowski</i>	

THE LABORATORY TODAY

Threat Reduction and Homeland Security Developing Strategies and Tools for Protecting Our Nation and the World

Six Decades of Reducing Threats and Allaying Fears	144
<i>Houston T. Hawkins</i>	
Eyes in Space—Sensors for Treaty Verification and Basic Research	152
<i>William C. Friedhorsky, Richard D. Belian, Steven P. Brumby, D. Roussel-Dupre, David J. Lawrence, Edward E. Fenimore, Maya Gokhale, John T. Gosling, Cheng Ho, Stephen O. Knox, Geoffrey D. Reeves, David M. Suszcynsky, W. Thomas Vestrand, and Jay A. Schecker</i>	
The Little Satellite That Could	155
<i>Diane Roussel-Dupré</i>	
Gotcha! You Blinked!	161
<i>W. Thomas Vestrand</i>	
Near Space and ENA Imaging	162
<i>John T. Gosling and Geoffrey D. Reeves</i>	
Geochemical Studies of the Moon and Planets	166
<i>William C. Feldman</i>	
Reducing the Biological Threat—Detection, Characterization, and Response	168
<i>Paul J. Jackson and Jill Trehwella</i>	
National Health Security	177
<i>I. Gary Resnick</i>	
Fluorobodies—Mixing Antibodies and the Green Fluorescent Protein to Unravel the Genomic Revolution	178
<i>Andrew M. Bradbury, Geoffrey S. Waldo, and Ahmet Zeytun</i>	
Analyzing Pathogen DNA Sequences	182
<i>Thomas S. Brettin</i>	
Understanding <i>Why</i> —Dissecting Radical Islamist Terrorism with Agent-Based Simulation	184
<i>Edward P. MacKerrow</i>	
The Los Alamos Center for Homeland Security	192
<i>Thomas W. Meyer, J. Wiley Davidson, I. Gary Resnick, Ray C. Gordon III, Brian W. Bush, Cetin Unal, Gaspar L. Toole, L. Jonathan Dowell, and Sara C. Scott</i>	

BUILDING THE FUTURE

Strategic Investments

Strategic Research at Los Alamos	200
<i>Rajan Gupta and David E. Watkins</i>	
Resolving the Solar Neutrino Problem.	201
<i>Andrew Hime</i>	
A New Source of Ultracold Neutrons	202
<i>Chen-Yu Liu, Steve K. Lamoreaux, Thomas J. Bowles, and Christopher Morris</i>	
The National High Magnetic Field Laboratory—A User Facility.	203
<i>Alex H. Lacerda and Gregory S. Boebinger</i>	
EpiSims	204
<i>Stephen Eubank</i>	
The Magnetized Universe.	205
<i>Hui Li and Stirling A. Colgate</i>	
Computational Tools to Battle HIV	208
<i>Bette T. M. Korber and Alan S. Perelson</i>	
Nanocrystal Quantum Dots—From Fundamental Photophysics to Multicolor Lasing	214
<i>Victor I. Klimov</i>	
Nanoscience and the Center for Integrated Nanotechnologies	221
<i>Don Merrill Parkin</i>	
Eddy-Resolving Ocean Modeling.	223
<i>Robert C. Malone, Richard D. Smith, Mathew E. Maltrud, and Matthew W. Hecht</i>	
Virtual Watershed—Simulating the Water Balance of the Rio Grande Basin	232
<i>Larry Winter and Everett P. Springer</i>	
Water for Energy—A Critical Piece of the Energy Sustainability Puzzle	238
<i>Anthony Mancino and Charryl L. Berger</i>	
Toward a Sustainable Energy Future—Fuel Cell Research at Los Alamos	240
<i>Kenneth R. Stroh</i>	
Highlights of the Laboratory’s Anniversary Celebration.	246
<i>Written and designed by Dennis J. Erickson and Andrea M. Gaskey</i>	